SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the givii docket singer. (She is-	ATRICCHORS ON THE REVERSE OF THE COMMY			····	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		1	DEFENDANTS		
JO ANN DEGEC	DRGE	WORLD FI	WORLD FINANCIAL CAPITAL BANK		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff	County of Resid	lence of First Listed Defendant		
	ne, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add		N LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, US LAND INVOLVED.	E THE LOCATION OF THE	
Craig Thor Kimmel, Es Kimmel & Silverman, F	quire	i			
30 E. Butler Pike	0.	Attorneys (if Kno	wn)		
Ambler, PA 19002		_			
(215) 540-8888 II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP	OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
		(For Diversity Cases		and One Box for Defendant) #TF DEF	
O I U.S. Government Plaintiff	★ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	I I Incorporated ar Proof Business in This		
D 2 U.S. Government	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	D 2 Incorporated and F of Business In it		
	(material Crizensulp of Families at them tra)	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 3 Foreign Nation	0606	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			OTHERSTATUTES	
	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY		☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury	- Ø 620 Other Food & Dri	ig ☐ 423 Withdrawal	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Med. Malpracti Liability		C 881	1 450 Commerce	
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	🗇 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liabilit		■ 820 Copyrights	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
☐ 151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers' Injury Product	G 650 Airline Regs. G 660 Occupational	350 Patent 340 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability Liability 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPE	RTY Safety/Health	W 470 (Tableman	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service	
(Excl. Veterans) [3] 153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product	690 Other		☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damag	710 Fair Labor Stands	inds	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability @ 385 Property Dame	e 720 Labor/Mgmt. Rel	ations 3 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410 B90 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	& Disclosure Act	☐ 865 RS1 (405(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act	
REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vac		ation 370 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 893 Environmental Matters	
3 220 Foreclosure	442 Employment Sentence 443 Housing/ Habens Corpus:	791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party	894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information	
 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 	Accommodations 3 530 General	immicra fio	26 USC 7609	Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination	
☐ 245 Torr Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 444 Welfnre ☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 445 Amer, w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & €	ther 1 462 Naturalization Ap		Under Equal Access	
	Employment 550 Civil Rights 446 Amer, w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Condition	☐ 463 Habeas Corpus • Alien Detained Al		to Justice 7 950 Constitutionality of	
	Other	☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions	nn e	State Statutes	
	440 Other Civil Rights	Avions			
SRI Original D 2 F	an "X" in One Box Only) temoved from	☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 Reopened	Transferred from 6 Multidis another district Litigatio		
T COOLING W		are filing (Do not cite juri	sdictional statutes unless diversity):	1	
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices				
VII. REQUESTED II COMPLAINT:	O CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	ON DEMANDS	CHECK YES onl JURY DEMANI	y if demanded in complaint: D: ☑ Yes ☐ No	
VIII. RELATED CA	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
Explanation:		// /			
4/22/11	SIGNATURE	OV ATTORNEY OF REC	ORD		
DATE	SIGNATURE				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be assignment to appropriate calendar.	e used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of	
	Lancaster, PA 17601	
Address of Defendant: 4590 E. Broad Street, Colum		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:		
(Use Reverse Side For A	dditional Space)	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a		
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes□ No□	
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yeso No.	
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:		
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated;	
Civil cases are deemed related when yes Is answered to any of the following questions:		
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ye	ear previously terminated action in this court?	
	Yesa No I	
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior s action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated	
401000000000000000000000000000000000000	Yes□ No□	
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier r	numbered case pending or within one year previously	
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No 🗹	
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	is case filed by the same individual?	
	Yes No No	
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)		
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts	
2. □ FELA	□ Airplane Personal Injury	
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation	
4. Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury	
5. Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury	
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please	
U Ludd Management Relations	specify)	
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability	
8. 🗆 Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos	
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases	
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)	
11. X All other Federal Question Cases 15 U.S.C. 1092	Ç,	
(Please specify)		
ARBITRATION CERT		
. counsel of record do hereby certi	fy:	
O Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and \$150,000,000 and white of futures and control.	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of	
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; □ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.		
11/22/11 Orreather Kimmel	57140	
DATE: Athrney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#	
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the		
Learnifus that so my knowledge the within each is not related to any each new andian	within one year praviously targinated action in this sound	
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or except as noted above.	THE THE YEAR PLEYIOUSLY ICHIBINATED ACTION IN THIS COURT	
DATE: 4/22/11 Craig That Kimmel	.57100	
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#	
CIV. 609 (6/08)		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

36 Ann D	efi e arge :	CIVIL ACTION	
٧.	:		
World Finance	LOW Capital Baik	NO.	
plaintiff shall complete a C filing the complaint and ser side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other pa	ase Management Track Designer of the copy on all defendants. (Some that a defendant does shall, with its first appearance	y Reduction Plan of this court, counse gnation Form in all civil cases at the tir See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the re- not agree with the plaintiff regarding se, submit to the clerk of court and serv rack Designation Form specifying the gned.	ne of verse said ve on
SELECT ONE OF THE I	FOLLOWING CASE MANA	AGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	s brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2	241 through § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services de	requesting review of a decisenying plaintiff Social Securit	ion of the Secretary of Health by Benefits.	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases req	uired to be designated for arb	oitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases invol exposure to asbestos.	ving claims for personal inju	ry or property damage from	()
commonly referred to a	Cases that do not fall into tra as complex and that need spec side of this form for a detaile	cial or intense management by	()
(f) Standard Management	- Cases that do not fall into a	my one of the other tracks.	X
4/22/11 Date 215-540-8888	Orald That Kimm Atthrney-at-law 871-788-2864	Ul So Ann De George Attorney for Kimmel locarditlaw	 -{ax)
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 JO ANN DEGEORGE, 4 Plaintiff 5 Case No.: ٧. 6 COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR 7 WORLD FINANCIAL CAPITAL BANK, JURY TRIAL 8 Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 9 10 **COMPLAINT** 11 JO ANN DEGEORGE ("Plaintiff"), by her attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C. 12 alleges the following against WORLD FINANCIAL CAPITAL BANK ("Defendant"): 13 INTRODUCTION 14 Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 1. 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"). 16 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 17 Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 2. 18 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 19 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 20 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States. 21 22 Defendant conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and 3. 23 therefore, personal jurisdiction is established. 24 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1). 25 Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202. 5.

I

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Lancaster, Pennsylvania, 17601.
- 7. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3).
- 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its principal place of business located at 4590 E. Broad Street in Columbus, Ohio, 43213.
- 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6), and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA") is a comprehensive statute, 11. which prohibits a catalog of activities in connection with the collection of debts by third parties. See 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. The FDCPA imposes civil liability on any person or entity that violates its provisions, and establishes general standards of debt collector conduct, defines abuse, and provides for specific consumer rights. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k. The operative provisions of the FDCPA declare certain rights to be provided to or claimed by debtors, forbid deceitful and misleading practices, prohibit harassing and abusive tactics, and proscribe unfair or unconscionable conduct, both generally and in a specific list of disapproved practices.
- In particular, the FDCPA broadly enumerates several practices considered 12. contrary to its stated purpose, and forbids debt collectors from taking such action. The substantive heart of the FDCPA lies in three broad prohibitions. First, a "debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692d. Second, a "debt

1

10

9

12

11

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e. And third, a "debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692f. The FDCPA is designed to protect consumers from unscrupulous collectors, whether or not there exists a valid debt, broadly prohibits unfair or unconscionable collection methods, conduct which harasses, oppresses or abuses any debtor, and any false, deceptive or misleading statements in connection with the collection of a debt.

- In enacting the FDCPA, the United States Congress found that "[t]here is 13. abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors," which "contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." 15 U.S.C. § 1692a. Congress additionally found existing laws and procedures for redressing debt collection injuries to be inadequate to protect consumers. 15 U.S.C. § 1692b.
- 14. Congress enacted the FDCPA to regulate the collection of consumer debts by debt collectors. The express purposes of the FDCPA are to "eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 15. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 16. The alleged debt at issue arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.

seeking and demanding payment in the amount of \$1,119.18 for an alleged debt on a HSN credit

On or about November 17, 2009, Defendant sent correspondence to Plaintiff

)

17.

card account. See Exhibit A, Defendant's November 17, 2009, correspondence.

18. Defendant identified itself to Plaintiff as "Recovery Score WFCB," when its true identity was World Financial Capital Bank, and the only purpose of which was to deceive Plaintiff.

- 19. Further, Defendant demanded payment by deceptively claiming that it had attempted to settle this matter in a friendly manner," it had "tried to appeal to [her] sense of integrity and honesty," and that Plaintiff still had "the opportunity to contact me at 1-888-788-2195 ext 7087 to authorize a payment by phone today or to discuss [her] financial situation." See Exhibit A.
- 20. Defendant's instruction to call "me" was confusing and misleading as the correspondence was signed "Recovery Score WFCB," and not with a name of an individual.

 See Exhibit A.
- 21. Finally, in its November 17, 2009, letter to Plaintiff, Defendant failed to identify itself as a debt collector. See Exhibit A.
- 22. Thereafter, on or about July 11, 2010, Defendant sent Plaintiff another letter seeking and demanding payment for an alleged consumer debt in the amount \$1,119.18. See Exhibit B, Defendant's July 11, 2010, correspondence.
- 23. Once again, Defendant failed to disclose its true identity as a debt collector in its letter to Plaintiff. See Exhibit B.
- 24. Defendant signed its letter, "Recovery Specialist 1-800-695-2220," which was misleading and deceptive as when the undersigned counsel contacted "1-800-695-2220," the

greeting was for "World Financial Network National Bank," not Defendant.

- 25. Defendant's use of the name "World Financial Capital Bank" in its correspondence to Plaintiff, but using the name "World Financial Network National Bank" in its telephone greeting, was deceptive to Plaintiff, as she did not know which company was attempting to collect a debt or which company she was speaking to.
- 26. Most recently on November 3, 2010, Defendant sent correspondence to Plaintiff this time identifying itself as "HSN," but still using the address of World Financial Capital Bank, again making it difficult for Plaintiff to know Defendant's true identity.
- 27. Like its prior letters to Plaintiff, Defendant again failed to identify itself as a debt collector.
- 28. Upon information and belief, after its initial correspondence with Plaintiff in November 17, 2009, Defendant failed to send Plaintiff written notification informing her of her rights to dispute the debt and/or request verification of the debt.
- 29. As a result, Plaintiff was unaware of her rights to dispute the debt or to request validation.
- 30. Defendant's actions in attempting to collect the alleged debt were harassing, abusive and highly deceptive.

CONSTRUCTION OF APPLICABLE LAW

31. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute. <u>Taylor v. Perrin, Landry, deLaunay & Durand</u>, 103 F.3d 1232 (5th Cir. 1997). "Because the Act imposes strict liability, a consumer need not show intentional conduct by the debt collector to be entitled to damages." <u>Russell v. Equifax A.R.S.</u>, 74 F. 3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996); <u>see also Gearing v. Check Brokerage Corp.</u> 233

 F.3d 469 (7th Cir. 2000) (holding unintentional misrepresentation of debt collector's legal status violated FDCPA); Clomon v. Jackson, 988 F. 2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).

- 32. The FDCPA is a remedial statute, and therefore must be construed liberally in favor of the debtor. Sprinkle v. SB&C Ltd., 472 F. Supp. 2d 1235 (W.D. Wash. 2006). The remedial nature of the FDCPA requires that courts interpret it liberally. Clark v. Capital Credit & Collection Services, Inc., 460 F. 3d 1162 (9th Cir. 2006). "Because the FDCPA, like the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) 15 U.S.C §1601 et seq., is a remedial statute, it should be construed liberally in favor of the consumer." Johnson v. Riddle, 305 F. 3d 1107 (10th Cir. 2002).
- 33. The FDCPA is to be interpreted in accordance with the "least sophisticated" consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168 (11th Cir. 1985); Graziano v. Harrison, 950 F. 2d 107 (3rd Cir. 1991); Swanson v. Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc., 869 F.2d 1222 (9th Cir. 1988). The FDCPA was not "made for the protection of experts, but for the public that vast multitude which includes the ignorant, the unthinking, and the credulous, and the fact that a false statement may be obviously false to those who are trained and experienced does not change its character, nor take away its power to deceive others less experienced." Id. The least sophisticated consumer standard serves a dual purpose in that it ensures protection of all consumers, even naive and trusting, against deceptive collection practices, and protects collectors against liability for bizarre or idiosyncratic interpretations of collection notices. Clomon, 988 F. 2d at 1318.

2

4

5

7 8

9

10

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 34. In its actions to collect a disputed debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:
 - a. Defendant violated of the FDCPA generally;
 - b. Defendant violated §1692d of the FDCPA by harassing Plaintiff in connection with the collection of an alleged debt;
 - Defendant violated §1692e of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive, or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of a debt;
 - d. Defendant violated §1692e(10) of the FDCPA by using false representations
 or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect a debt;
 - e. Defendant violated §1692e(11) of the FDCPA by failing to disclose in its subsequent communications that the communication is from a debt collector;
 - f. Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair and unconscionable means with Plaintiff to collect or attempt to collect a debt;
 - g. Defendant violated §1692g of the FDCPA by failing to send written notification, within five (5) days after its initial communication with Plaintiff, advising Plaintiff of her rights to dispute the debt or request verification of the debt; and
 - h. Defendant acted in an otherwise deceptive, unfair and unconscionable manner and failed to comply with the FDCPA.

1	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, JO ANN DEGEORGE, respectfully prays for a judgment as				
2	follows:				
3	a. All actual compensatory damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §				
4	1692k(a)(1);				
5	b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to				
6	15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);				
7	c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation				
8	costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and				
9	d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.				
10					
11	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
12	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, JO ANN DEGEORGE, demands a jury trial in				
13	this case.				
14	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,				
15	DATED: 422 KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.				
16	By:				
17	Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney ID # 57100				
18	Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.				
19	30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002				
20	Phone: (215) 540-8888 Fax: (877) 788-2864				
21	Email: <u>kimmel@creditlaw.com</u>				
22					
23					
24					
25					
	-8-				

11/17/09



միկինիդոյիիգինսենընսկիիգենկուները JO A DE GEORGE

Your balance: \$1,119.13
Your account number:

8-396

Dear JO A DE GEORGE,

We have attempted to settle this matter in a friendly manner.

We have tried to appeal to your sense of integrity and honesty.

You have not yet paid the balance on your HSN credit card account.

You still have the opportunity to contact me at 1-888-788-2195 ext 7087 to authorize a payment by phone today or to discuss your financial situation.

Act today!

Sincerely,

RECOVERY SCORE WFCB Recovery Specialist 1-888-788-2195 ext 7087

WD6 0002859

IMPORTANT NOTICE: THIS COMMUNICATION IS AN ATTEMPT TO COLLECT A DEBT AND ANY INFORMATION OBTAINED WILL BE USED FOR THAT PURPOSE.

Send bankruptcy notices/related correspondence to PO Box 183043, Columbus, OH 43218-3043

TDD/TTY 1-888-819-1918
Correspondence - PO Box 183044 Columbus, OH 43218-3044
Payment - PO Box 659707 San Antonio, TX 78265-9707





ւկնիկերը։||երկնսենիրներ||գիրենկրեւնկրիվ JO A DE GEORGE

July 11, 2010

8396

Dear JO A DE GEORGE:

A recent review of your HSN account reflects a balance of \$1,119.18, which remains past due. This balance is currently being reported to the credit bureau as an unpaid charge off.

In hopes of rectifying this matter, we are extending to you a one-time offer to settle your past due amount for \$559.59, which represents 50% of the balance, if payment is made within 45 days of the date of this letter. If payment is not made within 45 days, you will be required to pay the full balance in order to resolve this matter.

Please remit your payment in the envelope provided. Include your account number in the envelope to ensure your payment is applied promptly. Upon receipt of the full settlement amount, your account will be reported to the credit bureaus as "settled in full".

We are attempting to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.

Thank you.

Recovery Specialist 1-800-695-2220

2184 CAPES2



there's no place like...

November 3, 2010 8396

Mildigitality of the second of

Dear JO A DE GEORGE,

 World Financial Capital Bank issues HSN credit card accounts and we respond to all credit-related inquires. Your inquiry was forwarded to my attention for review.

World Financial Capital Bank will honor your request to cease all collection attempts. However, we ask that you contact us immediately to let us know your client's intentions regarding this account.

To resolve this issue, please contact us at 1-800-305-1146.

Sincerely,

Card Holder Services

CD03 14

